



---

*Literature Review on the Welfare Implications of*  
***The Role of Breed in Dog Bite***  
***Risk and Prevention***

(May 15, 2014)

---

### **BREEDS IMPLICATED IN SERIOUS BITE INJURIES**

In a range of studies, the breeds found to be highly represented in biting incidents were German Shepherd Dog,<sup>1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,50</sup> mixed breed,<sup>1,4,6,8,10,11,12, 19,17, 20,50</sup> pit bull type,<sup>5,9,13,16, 21,20,22,23,24,25,26,27</sup> Rottweiler,<sup>15, 18,22,24, 25, 28</sup> Jack Russell Terrier,<sup>21,25,26</sup> and others (Chow Chow,<sup>7,23</sup> Spaniel,<sup>14,26</sup> Collie,<sup>3,29</sup> Saint Bernard,<sup>20</sup> and Labrador Retriever<sup>2</sup>).

If you consider only the much smaller number of cases that resulted in very severe injuries or fatalities,<sup>21,23</sup> pit bull-type dogs are more frequently identified. However this may relate to the popularity of the breed in the victim's community, reporting biases and the dog's treatment by its owner (e.g., use as fighting dogs<sup>21</sup>). It is worth noting that fatal dog attacks in some areas of Canada are attributed mainly to sled dogs and Siberian Huskies,<sup>56</sup> presumably due to the regional prevalence of these breeds. See Table 1 for a summary of breed data related to bite injuries.

### **CONTROLLED STUDIES**

The prevalence of particular dog breeds can also change rapidly over time, often influenced by distinct peaks of popularity for specific breeds. It seems that increased popularity is sometimes followed by increases in bite reports in some large breeds. For example there was a distinct peak in American Kennel Club registration of Rottweilers<sup>30</sup> between 1990 and 1995, and they come at the top of the list of 'biting breeds' for the first time in studies of bites causing hospitalization in the late 90s and early 2000s.<sup>25,28,15,58</sup> While it must be noted that other fad breeds such as Dalmatians and Irish setters do not seem to make similar appearances, any estimate of breed-based risk must take into account the prevalence of the breed in the population at the time and place of serious biting events.<sup>17,31</sup>

For example, researchers can compare well-documented bite cases with matched control households. Using this method, one study found that the breeds disproportionately involved in bite

This peer-reviewed summary has been prepared by the American Veterinary Medical Association Animal Welfare Division. While principally a review of the scientific literature, it may also include information gleaned from proprietary data, legislative and regulatory review, market conditions, and scholarly ethical assessments. It is provided as information and its contents should not be construed as official AVMA policy. Mention of trade names, products, commercial practices or organizations does not imply endorsement by the American Veterinary Medical Association.

© American Veterinary Medical Association

injuries requiring medical attention in the Denver area (where pit bull types are not permitted) were the German Shepherd Dog and Chow Chow.<sup>64</sup>

Other studies use estimates of breed prevalence that do not relate specifically to the households where the bites occurred, such as general community surveys, breed registries, licensed dogs or animal shelter populations (See Table 2.). A study in Rome, Italy where *molloser* dogs like mastiff are reputed to be the most dangerous dogs, found they were not disproportionately involved in biting incidents when taking into account their prevalence in the community.<sup>32</sup> These prevalence referenced studies attribute higher risk to the German Shepherd Dog and crosses<sup>60,61,62,63,64</sup> and various other breeds (mixed breed,<sup>62,63</sup> Cocker spaniel,<sup>61,65</sup> Chow Chow,<sup>64,65</sup> Collie,<sup>61</sup> Doberman,<sup>60</sup> Lhasa Apso,<sup>44,65</sup> Rottweiler,<sup>49</sup> Springer Spaniel,<sup>43</sup> Shih Tsu,<sup>43</sup> and Poodle<sup>62</sup>).

### AGGRESSIVE BREEDS

Based on behavioral assessments and owner surveys the breeds that were more aggressive towards people were small to medium-sized dogs such as the collies, toy breeds and spaniels.<sup>33,34,35,36,37</sup> For example, a survey of general veterinary clientele in Canada (specifically practices in New Brunswick, Nova Scotia, and Prince Edward Island) identified Lhasa Apso, Springer spaniel and Shih Tsu as more likely to bite.<sup>43</sup>

While small dogs may be more aggressive their size means they are less likely to inflict serious bite injury except on vulnerable individuals or as part of a pack attack, which also allows dogs to seriously or injury healthy older children or adults.<sup>38,39</sup> Referrals for aggression problems more closely approximate the breeds implicated in serious bite attacks, probably because owners are more likely to seek treatment for aggression in dogs that are large enough to be dangerous. Larger dogs (regardless of breed) are implicated in more attacks on humans<sup>40</sup> and other dogs.<sup>41</sup>

Certain large breeds are notably under-represented in bite statistics such as large hounds and retrievers (e.g., Labrador Retrievers and Golden Retrievers)<sup>35,43</sup>—although even these breeds may have known aggressive subtypes.<sup>42</sup> Results relating to German Shepherd Dogs are mixed,<sup>36,43</sup> suggesting there may be particularly high variability in this breed, perhaps depending on regional subtypes or ownership factors.

### PIT BULL TYPES

Owners of pit bull-type dogs deal with a strong breed stigma,<sup>44</sup> however controlled studies have not identified this breed group as disproportionately dangerous. The pit bull type is particularly ambiguous as a “breed” encompassing a range of pedigree breeds, informal types and appearances that cannot be

reliably identified. Visual determination of dog breed is known to not always be reliable.<sup>45</sup> And witnesses may be predisposed to assume that a vicious dog is of this type.

It should also be considered that the incidence of pit bull-type dogs' involvement in severe and fatal attacks may represent high prevalence in neighborhoods that present high risk to the young children who are the most common victim of severe or fatal attacks. And as owners of stigmatized breeds are more likely to have involvement in criminal and/or violent acts<sup>46</sup>—breed correlations may have the owner's behavior as the underlying causal factor.

## **BREED BANS**

Most serious dog bite injuries (requiring hospital treatment) in the United States are the victim being a young child<sup>54</sup> and the dog being un-neutered and familiar (belonging to the family, a family friend or neighbor).<sup>32,47,48,54</sup> Therefore responsible ownership and supervision is key to minimizing the risk of dog bites in communities.

While some study authors suggest limiting ownership of specific breeds might reduce injuries (e.g., pit bull type,<sup>49</sup> German Shepherd Dog<sup>50</sup>) it has not been demonstrated that introducing a breed-specific ban will reduce the rate or severity of bite injuries occurring in the community.<sup>8,51</sup> Strategies known to result in decreased bite incidents include active enforcement of dog control ordinances,<sup>52</sup> and these may include ordinances relating to breed.<sup>53</sup>

## **CONCLUSION**

Maulings by dogs can cause terrible injuries<sup>47</sup> and death—and it is natural for those dealing with the victims to seek to address the immediate causes. However as Duffy et al (2008) wrote of their survey based data: *“The substantial within-breed variation... suggests that it is inappropriate to make predictions about a given dog's propensity for aggressive behavior based solely on its breed.”* While breed is a factor, the impact of other factors relating to the individual animal (such as training method, sex and neutering status), the target (e.g. owner versus stranger), and the context in which the dog is kept (e.g. urban versus rural) prevent breed from having significant predictive value in its own right. Also the nature of a breed has been shown to vary across time, geographically, and according to breed subtypes such as those raised for conformation showing versus field trials.<sup>37</sup>

Given that breed is a poor sole predictor of aggressiveness and pit bull-type dogs are not implicated in controlled studies it is difficult to support the targeting of this breed as a basis for dog bite prevention. If breeds are to be targeted a cluster of large breeds would be implicated including the German shepherd and shepherd crosses and other breeds that vary by location.

**SEE ALSO:**

National Animal Control Association Guideline Statement: *“Dangerous and/or vicious animals should be labeled as such as a result of their actions or behavior and not because of their breed.”*

**SUMMARY TABLES**

**Table One**

**Studies of Serious Dog Bite Injury by Breed**

<b>Period</b>	<b>Data Source</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>Country</b>	<b>Top Two Breeds Identified</b>	<b>Ref</b>
1971	US Dept. Health	843	United States (VA)	mixed breed German Shepherd Dog	1
1971-1974	Hospital records	50	South Africa	German Shepherd Dog Labrador Retriever	2
1973-1976	US Dept. Health	2618	United States (AL)	German Shepherd Dog Collie	3
1979-1982	Health Dept. Severe attacks	16	United States (SC)	pit bull type Saint Bernard	21
1981-1983	US Reservations	772	United States	mixed breed unspecified pedigree	19
1982	Hospital Records	420	Canada	German Shepherd mixed breed	54
1982-1989	Hospital records	146	United Kingdom	pit bull type Jack Russell Terrier	22
1987-1988	HASS	487	United Kingdom	mixed breed German Shepherd Dog	4
1979-1998	Fatalities	27	United States	pitt bull type Rottweiler	23
1969-2007	Fatalities	5	New Zealand	pitt bull type --	55
1989	Hospital records	168	United States	German Shepherd Dog pit bull type	5
1989	Hospital records	75	United Kingdom	German Shepherd Dog mixed breed	6
1991	Animal control records	357	United States	German Shepherd Dog Chow Chow	7
1991+1994	Hospital records	198	United Kingdom	German Shepherd Dog mixed breed	8
1989-1996	Hospital records	1109	United States (CA)	pit bull type German shepherd	9

1990-2007	Fatalities	28	Canada	mixed breed husky “sled dog”	56
1995	Patients receiving rabies post-exposure prophylaxis	~8000	United States (PA)	German Shepherd Dog mixed breed	10
1991-2000	Hospital records	654	Spain	German Shepherd Dog mixed breed	11
1996	Hospital records	1916	Australia	German Shepherd Dog Bull Terrier	57
1995-1997	Animal control	?	United States	pit bull type Chow Chow	24
1997	Hospital records	385	Canada	German Shepherd Dog Cocker Spaniel	11
1998-2002	Hospital records	72	Canada	Rottweiler German Shepherd Dog	58
2002	Accident compensation claims	535	New Zealand	Mixed breed German shepherd dog	17
1991-2004	Hospital records	25	South Africa	pit bull type German Shepherd Dog	59
1994-2005	Hospital records	341	Austria	mixed breed German Shepherd Dog	12
1997-2003	Hospital records	11	United States	Rottweiler German Shepherd Dog	15
2001-2002	ACC claims	3020	New Zealand	German Shepherd Dog pit bull type	13
2000-2004	Hospital records	593	United Kingdom	Rottweiler Jack Russell Terrier	28
2001-2005	Hospital records	551	United States	pit bull type Rottweiler	25
2002-2005	Veterinary referral	111	United States (PA)	Springer Spaniel German Shepherd Dog	14
2004-2005	Survey based on Dog Bite Line contacts	234	Ireland	Collie Spanie	29
2001-2011	Hospital records	436	United Kingdom	Staffordshire Bull Terrier Jack Russell Terrier	27
2000-2012	Hospital records	431	Switzerland	German Shepherd Dog Rottweiler	18
2005-2009	Hospital records	40	United States (SC)	Pit bull type Rottweiler	26
2006-2009	Hospital records	203	United States (PA)	Mixed breed Pit bull type	20

**Table Two**

**Studies of Serious Dog Bite Injury by Breed taking into Account Breed Prevalence**

Period	Data Source	Prevalence estimate	N	Country	Breeds Identified as Higher Risk	Ref
1974-1975	Animal control	Licensed dogs	?	United States (MD)	German Shepherd Dog and shepherd crosses Doberman Pinscher	60
1976-1977	US Bases	Relative risk versus mixed breed	529	United States (IL, MO)	Collie German Shepherd Dog Cocker Spaniel	61
1982	Pediatric practice	Non-biting pets of other patients	194	United States (MO)	German Shepherd Dog and shepherd crosses mixed breed over 30lb Poodle	62
1986-1987	Health Unit	Licensed dogs	318	Canada	German Shepherd Dog mixed breed	63
1991	Plastic surgery cases	Prevalence in community	146	Australia	German Shepherd Dog	50
1991	Animal control	Case controls	178	United States (CO)	German Shepherd Dog Chow Chow	64
1990-1993	Hospital records	Survey	356	Australia	Doberman Pinscher German Shepherd Dog Rottweiler	49
1993	Shelter animals quarantined for biting	General shelter admissions	170	United States (WI)	Chow Chow Cocker Spaniel Lhasa Apso	65
1996	Owner self-report (biters)	Owner self-report (non-biters)	3226	Canada	Lhasa Apso Springer Spaniel Shih Tsu	43
2003-2004	Shelter and Veterinary Hospital records	Registered dogs	290	Italy	Shepherd breeds	32

**REFERENCES**

- <sup>1</sup> Morton C. Dog bites in Norfolk, VA. *Health Serv Rep*, 1973;88:59-65.
- <sup>2</sup> Chait LA, Spitz L. Dogbite injuries in children. *S Afr Med J* 1975;49:718-720.
- <sup>3</sup> Maetz, M. Animal bites, a public health problem in Jefferson County, Alabama. *Public Health Rep* 1979;94:528-534.
- <sup>4</sup> Levene S. Dog bites to children. *BMJ* 1991;303:466.
- <sup>5</sup> Avner JR, Baker MD. Dog bites in urban children. *Pediatrics*. 1991;88:55-57.
- <sup>6</sup> Jarrett P. Which dogs bite? *Arch Emerg Med* 1991;8:33-35.
- <sup>7</sup> Patrick GR, O'Rourke KM. Dog and cat bites: epidemiologic analyses suggest different prevention strategies. *Public Health Rep* 1998;113:252-257.
- <sup>8</sup> Klaassen B, Buckley JR, Esmail A. Does the Dangerous Dogs Act protect against animal attacks: a prospective study of mammalian bites in the accident and emergency department. *Injury* 1996; 27: 89-91.
- <sup>9</sup> Meade, P. Police and domestic dog bite injuries: What are the differences? What are the implications about police dog use? *Injury Extra* 2006;37:395-401.
- <sup>10</sup> Moore DA, Sicho WM, Hunter A, et al. Animal bite epidemiology and surveillance for rabies postexposure prophylaxis. *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 2000;217:190-194.
- <sup>11</sup> Mendez Gallart R, Gomez Tellado M, Somoza Argibay I, Liras Munoz J, Pais Pineiro E, Vela Nieto D. Dog bite related injuries treated in a pediatric surgery department: analysis of 654 cases in 10 years. *An Esp Pediatr*. 2002;56:425-429.
- <sup>12</sup> Schalamon J. Analysis of dog bites in children who are younger than 17 years. *Pediatrics* 2006;117:374-379.
- <sup>13</sup> Wake AF. *The Aetiology of Dog Bites in New Zealand*, [MSc thesis], Palmerston North: Massey University, 2005.
- <sup>14</sup> Reisner, IR. Assessment, management, and prognosis of canine dominated-related aggression. *The Veterinary Clinics of North America Small Animal Practice* 1997;27:479-495.

- <sup>15</sup> Benson LS, Edwards SL, Schiff AP, et al. Dog and cat bites to the hand: treatment and cost assessment. *J Hand Surg [Am]* 2006; 31: 468-473.
- <sup>16</sup> Ashby K. Dog bites. Victorian Injury Surveillance System. *Hazard* 1996; 26: 7-13.
- <sup>17</sup> Wake A, Minot E, Stafford K, Perry P. A survey of adult victims of dog bites in New Zealand. *New Zeal Vet J* 2009; 57:364-369.
- <sup>18</sup> Pfortmueller CA, Efeoglou A, Furrer H, Exadaktylos AK. Dog Bite Injuries: Primary and Secondary Emergency Department Presentations—A Retrospective Cohort Study. *Sci World J* 2013;2013:1-6.
- <sup>19</sup> Daniels TJ. A study of dog bites on the Navajo reservation. *Public Health Rep* 1986;101:50-59.
- <sup>20</sup> Reisner IR, Shofer FS, Nance NL. Behavioral assessment of child-directed canine aggression. *Inj Prev* 2007;13:348-351.
- <sup>21</sup> Wright JC. Severe attacks by dogs: characteristics of the dogs, the victims, and the attack settings. *Public Health Rep* 1985;100:55-61.
- <sup>22</sup> Shewell PC, Nancarrow JD. Dogs that bite. *BMJ* 1997;303:1512-13.
- <sup>23</sup> Sacks JJ, Sinclair L, Gilchrist J, Golab GC, Lockwood R. Breeds of dogs involved in fatal human attacks in the United States between 1979 and 1998. *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 2000; 217: 836-840.
- <sup>24</sup> Blocker DE. Dog bite rates and biting dog breeds in Texas, 1995-1997. Masters Thesis 2000.
- <sup>25</sup> Kaye AE, Belz JM, Kirschner RE. Pediatric Dog Bite Injuries: A 5 Year Review of the Experience at the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia. *Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery* 2009;124:551-558.
- <sup>26</sup> Horswell BB, Chahine CJ. Dog bites of the face, head and neck in children. *West Virg Med J* 2010;107:24-27
- <sup>27</sup> Kasbekar AV, Garfit H, Duncan C, Mehta B, Davies K, Narasimhan G, Donne A. Dog bites to the head and neck in children; an increasing problem in the UK. *Clin Otolaryngology* 2013;38:259-262.
- <sup>28</sup> Thompson P. Aggression Effects - From a Human Perspective and Solutions. *Urban Animal anagement Conference Proceedings* 2004.
- <sup>29</sup> O'Sullivan E. Characteristics of 234 dog bite incidents in Ireland during 2004 and 2005. *Vet Rec* 2008;163:37-42.
- <sup>30</sup> Herzog H. Forty-two Thousand and One Dalmatians: Fads, Social Contagion, and Dog Breed Popularity. *Society and Animals* 2006;4:383-398.
- <sup>31</sup> Cunningham, L. The Case Against Dog Breed Discrimination By Homeowners' Insurance Companies. *Connecticut Insurance Law Journal* 2004;11:61.
- <sup>32</sup> Maragliano L, Ciccone G, Fantini C, Petrangeli C, Saporito G, Di Traglia M, Natoli E. Biting dogs in Rome (Italy). *Int J pest manag* 2007;4:329-334.
- <sup>33</sup> Fatjó J, Amat M, Mariotti VM, Torre JLR, Manteca X. Analysis of 1040 cases of canine aggression in a referral practice in Spain. *J Vet Behav* 2007; 2:158-65.
- <sup>34</sup> Duffy, DL., Hsu, Y. Serpell, JA. Breed differences in canine aggression. *Appl Anim Behav Sci* 2008;114:441-460.
- <sup>35</sup> Draper, T.W., Canine analogs of human personality factors. *J Gen Psyc* 1995;122: 241-252.
- <sup>36</sup> Lund JD, Agger JF, Vestergaard KS. Reported behaviour problems in pet dogs in Denmark: age distribution and influence of breed and gender. *Preventative Vet med* 1996;28:33-48
- <sup>37</sup> Duffy D, Yuying H, Serpell J. Breed differences in canine aggression. *Appl Anim Behav Sci* 2008;114.3: 441-460.
- <sup>38</sup> Borchelt PL, Lockwood R, Beck AM, Voith VL. Attacks by packs of dogs involve predation on human beings. *Public Health Reports* 1983;98:57-66.
- <sup>39</sup> Kneafsey B, Condon KC. Severe dog-bite injuries, introducing the concept of pack attack: A literature review and seven case reports. *Injury*. 1995;26:37-41.
- <sup>40</sup> Harris D, Imperato PJ, Oken B. Dog bites—an unrecognized epidemic. *Bull NY Acad Med* 1974;50:981-1000.
- <sup>41</sup> Roll, A., Unshelm, J. Aggressive conflicts amongst dogs and factors affecting them. *Appl Anim Behav Sci*. 1997;52:229-242.
- <sup>42</sup> van den Berg, L., Schilder, M.B.H., Knol, B.W. Behaviour genetics of canine aggression: behavioural phenotyping of Golden Retrievers by means of an aggression test. *Behav Gen* 2003;33:469-483.
- <sup>43</sup> Guy, N, Canine household aggression in the caseload of general veterinary practitioners in Maritime Canada, Master of Science thesis, Atlantic Veterinary College, University of Prince Edward Island, 1999
- <sup>44</sup> Twining, H., Arluke, A. Patronek, G. Managing stigma of outlaw breeds: A case study of pit bull owners. *Society and Animals* 2001;8:1-28.
- <sup>45</sup> Voith VL, Ingram E, Mitsouras K. Comparison of adoption agency breed identification and DNA breed identification of dogs. *J Appl Anim Welf Sci* 2009;12:253-262.
- <sup>46</sup> Ragatz L, Fremouw W, Thomas T, McCoy K. Vicious dogs: the antisocial behaviors and psychological characteristics of owners. *Journal of Forensic Sciences* 2009;54:699-703
- <sup>47</sup> Loewe CL, Francisco JD, Bechinski J. Pitbull mauling deaths in Detroit. *The American Journal of Forensic Medicine and Pathology* 2007;28:356-360.
- <sup>48</sup> Monroy A, Behar P, Nagy M, Poje C, Pizzuto M, Brodsky L. Head and neck dog bites in children. *Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg* 2009;140:354-357
- <sup>49</sup> Thompson PG. The public health impact of dog attacks in a major Australian city. *Med J Aust* 1997;167:129-32.
- <sup>50</sup> Greenhalgh C, Cockington R, Raftos I. An epidemiological survey of dog bites presenting to the emergency department of a children's hospital . *J Paediatr Child Health* 1991; 27:171-174.



- 
- <sup>51</sup> Raghavan M, Martens P, Chateau D, Burchill C. Effectiveness of breed-specific legislation in decreasing the incidence of dog-bite injury hospitalisations in people in the Canadian province of Manitoba. *Inj Prev* 2013;19:177-183.
- <sup>52</sup> Clarke NM. A survey of urban Canadian animal control practices : the effect of enforcement and resourcing on the reported dog bite rate, Master of Science – MSc 2009
- <sup>53</sup> Villalbí JR, Cleries M, Bouis S, Peracho V, Duran J, Casas C. "Decline in hospitalisations due to dog bite injuries in Catalonia, 1997–2008. An effect of government regulation?. *Inj Prev* 2010;16:408-410.
- <sup>54</sup> Ordog GJ. Warning to dog owners. *Can Family Physic* 1984;30:1056.
- <sup>55</sup> Healey D. Fatal dog bites in New Zealand. *J New Zeal Med Assoc* 2007;120:1259.
- <sup>56</sup> Raghavan M. Fatal dog attacks in Canada, 1990–2007. *Can Vet J*. 2008;49:577–581.
- <sup>57</sup> Ashby K. Dog bites. Victorian Injury Surveillance System. *Hazard* 1996; 26: 7-13.
- <sup>58</sup> Lang ME, Klassen T. Dog bites in Canadian children: a five-year review of severity and emergency department management. *Can J Emerg Med*. 2005;7:309–314.
- <sup>59</sup> Dwyer JP, Douglas TS, van As AB. Dog bites injuries in children—a review of data from a South Africa paediatric trauma unit. 2007;97:597–600.
- <sup>60</sup> Berzon DR. The animal bite epidemic in Baltimore, Maryland: review and update. *Am J Public Health*. 1978;68:593-595.
- <sup>61</sup> Hanna, TL, Selby LA. Characteristics of the human and pet populations in animal bite incidents recorded at two Air Force bases. *Public Health Rep*. 1981;96:580-584.
- <sup>62</sup> Lauer EA, White WC, Lauer BA. Dog bites: a neglected problem in accident prevention. *AJDC*. 1982;136:202-204.
- <sup>63</sup> Szpakowski NM, Bonnett BN, Martin SW. An epidemiological investigation into the reported incidents of dog biting in the City of Guelph. *Can Vet J* 1989;30:937–942.
- <sup>64</sup> Gershman KA, Sacks JJ, Wright JC. Which dogs bite: a case-control study of risk factors. *Pediatrics* 1994;93:913-917.
- <sup>65</sup> Castelein C, Klouda J, Hirsch H. The bite case scenario—it is not what you think. In: *WFHS newsletter*. Madison, Wis: Wisconsin Humane Society, 1996;Sep:12–14. Cited in: Overall KL, Love M. Dog bites to humans: demography, epidemiology, injury, and risk. *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 2001;218:1923-1934.